# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# E-filing

# NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

PHILLIP H. BENSON

PLAINTIFF,

vs.

**COMPLAINT** 

PFIZER, INC., PHARMACIA CORPORATION, and G.D. SEARLE, LLC

CHARLES OS G

**DEFENDANTS.** 

# COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, Phillip H. Benson, who respectfully represents:

I.

1. This is an action for damages suffered by Plaintiff as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct including, but not limited to the design, manufacture, distribution, testing, labeling, failure to warn of harmful side effects, warranting, and sale of the prescription drug BEXTRA®.

II.

#### **PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff, Phillip H. Benson, is a person of the full age of majority and a resident of El Dorado, Union County, Arkansas.

- 3. Defendant, Pfizer, Inc., ("Pfizer") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York, New York. In 2003, Pfizer acquired Pharmacia Corporation for nearly \$60 billion. At all relevant times, Pfizer and/or its predecessors in interest were engaged in the business of designing, testing, manufacturing, packaging, marketing, distributing, promoting, and selling the drug Valdecoxib, under the trade name BEXTRA® in California, Mississippi and nationwide.
- 4. Defendant G.D. Searle, LLC, formerly known as G.D. Searle & Co. ("Searle") is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois. At all relevant times, Searle has been engaged in the business of marketing and selling BEXTRA® nationwide and in California and Mississippi. Searle is a subsidiary of Pfizer, acting as its agent and alter ego in all matters alleged within this Complaint.
- 5. Defendant Pharmacia Corporation ("Pharmacia") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey. At all relevant times, Pharmacia, and its predecessors in interest have been engaged in the business of designing, testing, manufacturing, packaging, marketing, distributing, promoting, and selling BEXTRA® nationwide and in California and Mississippi.

#### III.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This is an action for damages, which exceeds seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).
- 7. There is complete diversity of citizenship between the Plaintiff and Defendants. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity jurisdiction) because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, and because there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants.

- 8. Venue is proper in this United States Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A.§ 1391.

  Defendants marketed, advertised and distribute the dangerous product in the district, thereby receiving substantial financial benefit and profits the dangerous product in this district, and reside in this district under 28 U.S.C.A. § 1391(c), such that venue is proper.
- 9. At all relevant times herein, Defendants were in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, developing, testing, labeling, promoting, distributing, warranting and selling their product, BEXTRA®. Defendants at all times relevant hereto designed, developed, manufactured, promoted, marketed, distributed, tested, warranted and sold in interstate commerce the aforementioned drug. Defendants do substantial business in the State of California and within this Federal Judicial District, advertise in this district, receive substantial compensation and profits from sales of BEXTRA® in this District, and made material omissions and misrepresentations and breaches of warranties in this District so as to subject them to *in personam* jurisdiction in this District. In engaging in the conduct alleged herein each defendant acted as the agent for each of the other defendants, or those defendants' predecessors in interest.

IV.

#### INTERDISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

10. Assignment to the San Francisco Division is proper as this action is related to in Re:

Bextra and Celebrex Marketing Sales Prac. And Pro. Liab. Lit., MDL - 1699, assigned to the

Honorable Charles R. Breyer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on September 6,

2005.

V.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. Pfizer, Inc. is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, developing, testing, labeling, promoting, distributing, warranting and selling its product, BEXTRA®. Pfizer, Inc., at all times relevant hereto, designed, developed, manufactured, promoted, marketed, distributed, tested, warranted and sold BEXTRA® in Arkansas.
- 12. Plaintiff, Phillip H. Benson, ingested BEXTRA® as prescribed from December 2, 2002 through approximately November 20, 2004. As a result of taking BEXTRA®, Plaintiff suffered a myocardial infarction and cardiac arrest on November 20, 2004.
- 13. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was unaware of the serious side effects and dangerous properties of the drug as set forth herein.
- 14. The product in question was designed, formulated, patented, marketed, sold, tested, warranted, and ultimately distributed by the Defendants as BEXTRA®.
- 15. BEXTRA® is in a class of drugs called non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs ("NSAIDs") with selective cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitory properties (COX-2 Inhibitor). It was approved by the Food and Drug Administration on November 16, 2001, for the treatment and management of symptoms of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis in adults and painful menstrual cycles.
- 16. As of March 2005, Pfizer, Inc. refused to withdraw BEXTRA® from the market, despite scientific studies documenting greater than triple the risk of heart attacks, strokes and death in connection with the use of BEXTRA®.

- 17. Defendants materially breached its obligations to consumers, such as the Plaintiff, including but not limited to its design, testing, manufacture, design, warning, marketing, warranting and sale of BEXTRA®.
- 18. Defendants expressly and/or impliedly warranted to the market, including the Plaintiff, by and through statements made by Defendants or its authorized agents or sales representatives, orally and in publications, package inserts and other written materials to the health care community, that BEXTRA® was safe, effective, fit and proper for its intended use.
- 19. Defendants were aware of the substantial risks from taking BEXTRA® but failed to fully disclose same.
- 20. Defendants failed to meet the applicable standards of care which were intended for the benefit of individual consumers such as the Plaintiff, making the Defendants liable for the Plaintiff's injuries.

# VI.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### PRODUCTS LIABILITY

- 21. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges Paragraphs 1-20 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. Defendants were in the business of designing, developing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, testing, warranting and/or selling BEXTRA®.
- 23. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendants sold and/or distributed BEXTRA® in a condition that posed unreasonable risks from reasonably anticipated use. Plaintiff avers that the aforementioned product expected to and did reach the consumers, Plaintiff, without substantial change in condition from the time that it left the control of Defendants.

- 24. The defective condition(s) alleged herein rendered BEXTRA® unreasonably dangerous to Plaintiff and proximately caused the injuries and damages for which recovery is sought.
- 25. The risks associated with the use of BEXTRA® far outweighed its usefulness or desirability, and there existed a feasible design alternative that would have prevented the harm suffered by the Plaintiff without compromising its usefulness.
- 26. Defendants knew, or in light of reasonably available knowledge should have known, of the danger in its product that caused the damage for which recovery is sought. The ordinary user or consumer of BEXTRA® would not realize such dangers.
- 27. In using BEXTRA®, the Plaintiff relied on the knowledge, skill, judgment, representations, and express and/or implied warranties of the Defendants. Had the Plaintiff known of the actual dangers associated with the use of BEXTRA®, he would not have consumed it.
- 28. Defendants neglected to provide Plaintiff warnings that reasonably could have been expected to catch the attention of a reasonably prudent person under similar circumstances taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to an ordinary consumer who purchases the product. Further, Defendants failed to provide warnings which accurately advise an ordinary consumer of the scope, severity and likelihood of serious injury resulting from use of BEXTRA®. Had such warnings been provided, the injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff could have been avoided.
- 29. Defendants failed to provide warnings which accurately advised an ordinary physician or other licensed professional who prescribes the drug of the scope, severity and likelihood of serious injury resulting from use of BEXTRA®.

- 30. Plaintiff contends that BEXTRA® failed to function as expected, and there existed feasible design alternatives equally as effective and useful that would have had a reasonable probability of preventing the harm sustained by Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the damages complained of herein for the respective designing, developing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, testing, warranting, and/or selling of BEXTRA®.

## VII.

#### **DAMAGES**

- 32. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges Paragraphs 1-31 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. As a result of Defendants' acts, omissions and/or misconduct, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory damages, which include, but are not limited to, the following, and are entitled to recover damages in an amount found to be reasonable at the trial of this matter:
  - (a) Stroke and related injuries;
  - (b) Heart attack and related injuries;
  - (c) Severe and permanent physical and mental injuries and associated disabilities;
  - (d) Severe past and future pain and suffering and mental anguish occasioned by the resulting injuries;
  - (e) Wrongful death;
  - (f) Loss of enjoyment of life;
  - (g) Increased risk of health problems;
  - (h) Loss of past and future income;
  - (i) Past and future medical, rehabilitation, and life care expenses;

- (j) Mental anguish and emotional trauma;
- (k) Continued medical monitoring;
- (1) Any and all other damages to be shown at trial.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays for and demands judgment of and from the Defendants, PFIZER, INC., G.D. SEARLE, LLC, and PHARMACIA CORPORATION for actual and compensatory damages in a reasonable amount to compensate Plaintiff, and Plaintiff further demands judgment of and from Defendants, PFIZER, INC., G.D. SEARLE, LLC, and PHARMACIA CORPORATION together with pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest and all costs of this proceeding.

# WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- 1. That Defendants be required to answer this complaint after all legal delays have run, all in accordance with law;
- 2. That Plaintiff recovers her costs for the prosecution of this action;
- 3. That the Court render judgment in favor of the Plaintiff awarding all damages and relief as prayed for herein, including attorneys' fees, with all costs assessed against Defendants.

Respectfully submitted,

VANCE ANDRUS ANDRUS, BOUDREAUX, LEMOINE & TONORE P.O. Box 3347 416 West Main Street Lafayette, LA 70502 Telephone: (337) 233-3075

**BRYAN AYLSTOCK** JUSTIN WITKIN AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & SASSER, PLLC 4400 Bayou Blvd., Suite 58 Pensacola, FL 32503 Telephone: (850) 916-7450

**DAWN BARRIOS BRUCE KINGSDORF** BARRIOS, KINGSDORF & CASTEIX, LP One Shell Square 701 Poydras Street, Suite 3650 New Orleans, LA 70139-3650 Telephone: (504) 524-3300

MATTHEW LUNDY LUNDY & DAVIS, LLP P.O. Box 3010 Lake Charles, LA 70602 Telephone: (337) 439-0707

NEBLETT, BEARD & ARSENAULT RICHARD J. ARSENAULT - 2563 Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1190 Alexandria, LA 71309-1190 Telephone: (318) 487-9874

BY:

RICHARD J. ARSENAULT #2563

■ JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or over his contained by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use o

L (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
Phillip H. Benson		Pfizer, Inc., Pharmacia Corporation, G.D. Searle, LLC		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Union County, AR (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CON	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLA INT IFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Richard J. Arsenault P.O. Box 1190 Alexandria, LA 71309-1190				
(318) 487-9874				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This State T 1	DEF Incorporated or Princip of Business In The	is State
U.S. Government Defendant  4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In An	other State
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES				
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury—  Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury—  Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
151 Medicare Act	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other	830 Patent 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits   350 Motor Vehicle   160 Stockholders' Suits   355 Motor Vehicle   190 Other Contract   Product Liability   360 Other Personal Injury   196 Franchise   350 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   Product Liability   360 Other Personal Injury   360 Other Personal	1 Todact Elability	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS  210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	865 RSI (405(g))	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information
220 Foreclosure	Sentence Habeas Corpus:  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	IMMIGRATION  462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus –	B70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) B71 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
440 Other Civil Rights		Alien Detainee  465 Other Immigration Actions		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  Transferred from 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify)  Transferred from 5 another district (specify)  Appeal to District 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment				
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  WL CAUSE OF ACTION  Brief description of cause:				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: Yes No				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  IF ANY  PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".				
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)  SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND  SAN JOSE				
DATE 3/31/18 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD				